# Minimum Energy Performance Standards: Issues and Cooperation Potentials

Sommai Phon-Amnuaisuk International Institute for Energy Conservation

United Nations Forum on Energy Efficiency and Energy Security for Sustainable Development

KCCI, Seoul, Republic of Korea 18 December 2007



#### **Presentation Outline**



- About IIEC
- Minimum Energy Performance Standard (MEPS) and Energy Labeling – Overview
- Designs and Implementation Issues
- International Collaborations on MEPS and Labeling
- Co-operation Potentials



### **About IIEC**





#### Global Presence with Local Implementation



# MEPS & Labeling -Overview





#### MEPS and Labeling - Global Importance



- Use of energy in buildings, including appliances, equipment, and lighting ~ 40% of total energy consumption
- This contributes ~35% of energy-related CO2 emissions



- Most products that will use energy in buildings in 2020 <u>have not yet been built</u>
- Mandatory Energy Efficiency Standards
  - Remove inefficient products from the workplace

#### • Energy Labels

- Influence consumer and manufacturer decisions

Source: S&L Guidebook, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition



#### **S&L Worldwide Snapshot**





#### Cumulative Number of Countries with S&L Programs in Asia and Worldwide





#### **Typical Steps in Developing S&L**



# Design and Implementation Issues



#### **Design and Implementation Issues**



- Screening of Appliances, Equipment and Lighting Products
- Considerations for specific products in priority
  - Energy Performance Measurement
  - Energy and Non-Energy Criteria
  - Assessment of Economic Impacts
- Compliance and Check Testing



#### **Screening of Energy Using Products**



- A broad feasibility study normally serves this requirement
  - International review
  - Prioritize Appliances, Equipment and Lighting Products
  - Testing infrastructure needs assessment
  - Program design

# • More detailed studies for specific products in priority to determine:

- Energy performance measurement
- Benchmarking local energy performance profile
- Energy and non-energy criteria
- Economic impacts



#### Energy Performance Measurement for Lighting Products



#### • Energy performance measurement

- Measurement of electricity consumption = Input
- Measurement of output  $\rightarrow$  Light = Output
- Determination of Energy Performance  $\rightarrow$ Output/Input

#### Issues related to each specific product

- Lamp Lumen per Watt is widely used.
- Ballast International standards available for input/output measurements but for determination of energy performance do not exist
- Interpretation of testing methodologies specified in international testing standards





#### Case Study – Energy Performance Measurement for Lighting Products





Product	Energy Performance Indicator
Lamp (FL, CFL, HID)	Lumen per watt
Ballast	<ul> <li>BEF – Canada, China, Japan*, Korea*, USA</li> <li>Total Input Power – EEI – Australia/New Zealand, EU, Thailand**</li> <li>Watt loss – Malaysia**</li> </ul>
Luminaire	<ul> <li>Light Output Ratio (LOR) – Thailand</li> <li>Lighting Fitting Efficiency Code (LFEC) – UK</li> <li>NEMA LER - USA</li> </ul>

Note:

\*Japan and Korea use slightly different formula to calculate BEF

\*\*Malaysia and Thailand is considering to propose MEPS for ballast using EEI (harmonized with EU)



#### **Energy and Non-Energy Criteria**



- In addition to energy performance criteria, non-energy performance are also important to MEPS and Labeling
  - Lamp lifetime, color rendering index (CRI)
  - Electronic Ballast Electromagnetic Compatibility (EMC)
- How policy makers/program designers choose the right combination of energy and non-energy criteria?
  - International guidelines and best practices are not available.







#### **Example – Energy Performance** Criteria





#### Example – Non-Energy Performance Criteria





#### Assessment of Economic Impacts of Products in Priority



- Very important helping policy makers to decide the right performance levels that suit the local context.
- Results can also be used to verify actual impacts of MEPS and Labeling following years of implementation
- Though it is important, many countries still implement MEPS and Labeling with only limited understanding on costs and benefits of the programs.



#### **Compliance and Check Testing**



- The process is crucial to the program effectiveness.
- However, compliance and check testing in many developing countries are relatively weak, probably due to:
  - Limited Budget
  - Bureaucratic and weak policing process



#### International Collaborations on MEPS & Labeling





#### Information Sharing - Global S&L Database





- Internet-based database providing details of MEPS and Labeling programs in more than 80 countries around the World
- Jointly managed by APEC Energy Standards Information System (APEC-ESIS, <u>www.apec-esis.org</u>) and Collaborative Labeling and Appliance Standards Program (CLASP, <u>www.clasponline.org</u>)



#### apec-esis.org & clasponline.org



#### **Standard Developments**







- Ongoing international standard harmonization efforts also provide better guidelines for policy makers and program designers of MEPS and Labeling, including:
  - International CFL Harmonization Initiative
  - New IEC standard for ballast energy performance measurement
  - IEC Environmental Committee is pushing the energy efficiency aspect of products and more IEC standards on energy performance will become available (but it still takes many years)

#### **CFL Harmonization Initiative - CFLi**



- Test methods for CFL are very similar (mostly based on IEC).
- So, a great potential for harmonization based around the existing IEC protocols
- However, the existing test methods require considerable interpretation, and this may also introduce variations in results, depending upon the assumptions made by individual laboratories.
- CFLi discussed and developed a new test method for electronic self-ballasted CFLs, and proposed to IEC.



## **Co-Operation Potentials**



#### Development of Better Standards and Certifications



#### **Comprehensive Testing Methodologies**

 International co-operations in development of tools and standards similar to CFLi should be strengthened so that policy makers and program designers in developing and emerging economies can benefit.

- Discussion and negotiation is a part of international standard development process so regional and subregional co-operation are important to development of standards that are applicable to both developed and developing countries.
- One certification, applicable to all e.g. Energy Star in
  - **IT products**





# Thank you!

www.iiec.org

